

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

Case No. C20-1667RSM

SUE HONG, on behalf of herself and all  
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A, individually and  
as successor-in-interest, QBE INSURANCE  
CORP., NATIONAL GENERAL HOLDINGS  
CORP., and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING IN PART MOTION  
TO FILE OVER-LENGTH BRIEF

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to file over-length briefing in a single response to Defendants' two separate Motions to Dismiss. Dkt. #38. Plaintiff argues that, although she is entitled to file a separate 24-page brief in response to each Motion under LCR 7(e)(3), because "many of the facts and some of the issues raised by each Defendant in their respective motions overlap," she is requesting a 45-page limit for a single combined response brief. *Id.* Plaintiff provides no further details about the overlapping facts or legal issues, or other argument to support this request.

1 “Motions seeking approval to file an over-length motion or brief are disfavored.” LCR  
2 7(f). The Court agrees with Plaintiff that the facts and many of the legal issues raised by  
3 Defendants’ Motions overlap. However, the Court does not agree that the potentially  
4 substantial savings created by this overlap justifies a request for 45 pages of briefing. Both  
5 Motions address the “Filed-Rate Doctrine” over five pages. Both Motions spend several pages  
6 addressing statute of limitations issues. Both Motions raise similar Consumer Protection Act  
7 arguments. The Court finds that Plaintiff has not presented adequate justification for a single,  
8 45-page brief, but will, given the urgency of this issue, permit Plaintiff to file a single, 40-page  
9 combined response brief if she so chooses.  
10

11 Having reviewed the briefing and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby finds  
12 and ORDERS that Defendants’ Motion for Over-length Brief, Dkt. #38, is GRANTED IN  
13 PART. Plaintiff may elect to file a single, 40-page combined response brief. Reply briefs from  
14 Defendants will be limited to 12 pages if filed separately, or 20 pages if filed in a single  
15 combined reply brief.  
16  
17

18  
19 DATED this 11<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2021.  
20

21 

22 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
23 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28